

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/788,459	-	02/21/2001	Lory Dean Molesky	19111.0013	5665	
23517	7590	12/01/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SWIDLER BERLIN LLP				LY, A	LY, ANH	
3000 K STI BOX IP	REET, NV	V		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING	TON, DO	ON, DC 20007	7. 1	2162		
				DATE MAILED: 12/01/200	DATE MAILED: 12/01/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Astinu Occurren	09/788,459	MOLESKY, LOR	MOLESKY, LORY DEAN					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Anh Ly	2162						
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MADE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community of the provider of the provision of the provider of the provision of the provider of the provision of the	AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may inication. utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) M vill, by statute, cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	l on 08 Sentember 2005							
<u> </u>	b)⊠ This action is non-final.		•					
,	/	atters prosecution as to th	ao morite is					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
closed in accordance with the practic	c under Ex parte Quayre, 1905 C	.D. 11, 400 O.G. 210.						
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the ag	oplication.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 12 is/are wi	thdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 13-32</u> is/are rejected	Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 13-32</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the	Fyaminer							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02/21/2001</u> is/	•	cted to by the Examiner						
Applicant may not request that any object		•						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	= ' '		CED 1 121(d)					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to			• •					
The path of declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attach	led Office Action of John P	10-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C locuments have been received.	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
2. Certified copies of the priority of		Application No						
	f the priority documents have been		al Stage					
application from the Internation	•							
* See the attached detailed Office action		ot received.						
	·							
Attachment(s)								
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		w Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT		lo(s)/Mail Date	FO 452)					
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	PTO/SB/08) 5) L. Notice of 6)	of Informal Patent Application (PT	(O-152)					
	-, Julion							

Application/Control Number: 09/788,459 Page 2

Art Unit: 2162

DETAILED ACTION

Request for Continued Examination (RCE)

- 1. The request filed on 09/08/2005 for a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 based on parent Application No. 09/788,459 is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.
- 2. Claims 1-11 and 13-32 are pending in this application.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments, see RESPONSE, filed 09/08/2005, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1, 11, 13 and 23 under "generating time labels by extracting and analyzing time label information from input data comprising time labels" have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of US Patent No.: 6,920,608 of Davis.

Application/Control Number: 09/788,459 Page 3

Art Unit: 2162

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-4, 6-11, 13-16, 18-26 and 28-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 6091,424 issued to Madden et al. (hereinafter Madden) in view of US Patent No. 6,320,577 issued to Alexander and further in view of US Patent No.: 6,920,608 B1 issued to Davis.

With respect to claim 1, Madden teaches a method of automatically labeling a time axis of a graph that includes time-based data (col. 4, lines 1-67 and col. 5, lines 1-12; automatically generating time label for a given input graph or map: abstract and col. 2, lines 12-25), comprising:

creating a multi-level data structure (figs 16 and 17, hierarchical data structure including multiple levels: col. 15, lines 45-67);

storing the time label information in the multi-level data structure (storing time labels in a table data structure consisting of a plurality of rows of time labels: col. 6, lines 20-67 and col. 7, lines 1-8; also see col. 4, lines 55-67 and col. 5, lines 1-45 and col. 1, lines 15-35).

processing the time labels (placing label on a given graph, map or drawing: fig. 5, col. 2, lines 12-25); and

Art Unit: 2162

generating multi-level time labels from time tables that are stored in the multi-level data structure, each multi-level time label comprising a plurality of rows of time labels (time labels are storing in relations or table, wherein a table consisting a plurality of rows of time labels: hierarchical data structure comprising a plurality of level storing time information: figs 16 and 17, col. 15, lines 45-67).

Madden teaches automatically generating time label for a given graph from a multi-level data structure storing time labels in a table consisting a plurality of rows of time labels (abstract, see figs. 16 & 17, col. 15, lines 45-67 and col. 2, lines 12-25), finding a set of label for each graphical feature of graph or map (col. 4, lines 55-67 and col. 5, lines 45). Madden does not clearly teach applying the generated label to the axis of a graph so that it serves as a label for that axis.

However, Alexander teaches moving time label information to the selected axis (col. 3, lines 28-35).

Therefore, based on Madden in view of Alexander, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Madden and Alexander, because using the steps of "moving time label information to the selected axis", would have given those skilled in the art to have ability to apply the time data to label to an axis of graph. This gives users the advantage of label placement to a given graph or map in the system of Madden more efficiently. Madden and Alexander do not teach generating time labels by extracting or analyzing time label information from input data comprising informational data and corresponding time labels.

Art Unit: 2162

However, Davis teaches manipulating the time data to label the time axis of chart, map or graph (sections 0142 and 0237).

Therefore, based on Madden in view of Alexander, and further in view of Davis, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Davis to the system of Madden to generate time labels to a time axis of graph. Because using the steps of "generating time labels by extracting and analyzing time label information from data", would have given those skilled in the art the tools to manipulate the time labels data to a give time axis of a graph. the motivation being to provide automatically multi-line labeling of time axis in the presentation graphs.

With respect to claim 2, Madden teaches assigning indexes to each of the time labels in the multi-level data structure (each record containing information having indexing to it: col. 15, lines 45-67).

With respect to claim 3, Madden teaches a method of automatically labeling a time axis of a graph ad discussed in claim 1.

Madden teaches automatically generating time label for a given graph from a multi-level data structure storing time labels in a table consisting a plurality of rows of time labels (abstract, see figs. 16 & 17, col. 15, lines 45-67 and col. 2, lines 12-25), finding a set of label for each graphical feature of graph or map (col. 4, lines 55-67 and col. 5, lines 45). Madden does not clearly teach generating axis markers and labeling the time axis of graph with the axis markers.

Art Unit: 2162

However, Alexander teaches moving time label information to the selected axis and label control unit (col. 3, lines 28-35; also see fig. 2-4, col. 14, lines 22-56).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Madden with the teachings of Alexander, wherein the automated label placement to a given graph or map in the system provided therein (Madden's fig. 5 and figs. 13 & 15), would incorporate the use of moving the time label information to the selected axis, in the same conventional manner as described by Alexander (col. 3, lines 28-35). The motivation being to provide automatically multi-line labeling of time axis in the presentation graphs.

With respect to claim 4, Madden teaches assigning indexes to each of the time labels in the multi-level data structure (each record containing information having indexing to it: col. 15, lines 45-67).

With respect to claim 6, Madden teaches a method of automatically labeling a time axis of a graph ad discussed in claim 1.

Madden teaches automatically generating time label for a given graph from a multi-level data structure storing time labels in a table consisting a plurality of rows of time labels (abstract, see figs. 16 & 17, col. 15, lines 45-67 and col. 2, lines 12-25), finding a set of label for each graphical feature of graph or map (col. 4, lines 55-67 and col. 5, lines 45). Madden does not clearly teach summing the length of each time label and comparing the sum with the length of the time axis.

Art Unit: 2162

However, Alexander teaches summing the position and comparing the labels (col. 21, lines 58-67 and col. 30, lines 3-62).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Madden with the teachings of Alexander, wherein the automated label placement to a given graph or map in the system provided therein (Madden's fig. 5 and figs. 13 & 15), would incorporate the use of moving the time label information to the selected axis, in the same conventional manner as described by Alexander (col. 3, lines 28-35). The motivation being to provide automatically multi-line labeling of time axis in the presentation graphs.

With respect to claim 7, Madden teaches a method of automatically labeling a time axis of a graph ad discussed in claim 1.

Madden teaches automatically generating time label for a given graph from a multi-level data structure storing time labels in a table consisting a plurality of rows of time labels (abstract, see figs. 16 & 17, col. 15, lines 45-67 and col. 2, lines 12-25), finding a set of label for each graphical feature of graph or map (col. 4, lines 55-67 and col. 5, lines 45). Madden does not clearly teach summing the length of each time label and comparing the sum with the length of the time axis.

However, Alexander teaches summing the position and comparing the labels (col. 21, lines 58-67 and col. 30, lines 3-62 and col. 5, lines 55-67 and col. 6, lines 1-26).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Madden with

Art Unit: 2162

the teachings of Alexander, wherein the automated label placement to a given graph or map in the system provided therein (Madden's fig. 5 and figs. 13 & 15), would incorporate the use of moving the time label information to the selected axis, in the same conventional manner as described by Alexander (col. 3, lines 28-35). The motivation being to provide automatically multi-line labeling of time axis in the presentation graphs.

With respect to claim 8, Madden teaches a method of automatically labeling a time axis of a graph ad discussed in claim 1.

Madden teaches automatically generating time label for a given graph from a multi-level data structure storing time labels in a table consisting a plurality of rows of time labels (abstract, see figs. 16 & 17, col. 15, lines 45-67 and col. 2, lines 12-25), finding a set of label for each graphical feature of graph or map (col. 4, lines 55-67 and col. 5, lines 45). Madden does not clearly teach summing the length of each time label and comparing the sum with the length of the time axis.

However, Alexander teaches summing the position and comparing the labels and tracking the size of labels (col. 21, lines 58-67 and col. 30, lines 3-62; col. 5, lines 18-22 and lines 55-67 and col. 6, lines 1-26).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Madden with the teachings of Alexander, wherein the automated label placement to a given graph or map in the system provided therein (Madden's fig. 5 and figs. 13 & 15), would incorporate the use of moving the time label information to the selected axis, in the same conventional manner as described by Alexander (col. 3, lines

Art Unit: 2162

28-35). The motivation being to provide automatically multi-line labeling of time axis in the presentation graphs.

With respect to claim 9, Madden teaches processing the multi-level data structure to refine the time labels comprises extending the precision of the time labels (hierarchical data structure comprising a plurality of level storing time information: figs 16 and 17, col. 15, lines 45-67).

With respect to claim 10, Madden teaches processing the multi-level data structure to refine the time labels comprises merging the levels in the multi-level data structure (hierarchical data structure comprising a plurality of level storing time information: figs 16 and 17, col. 15, lines 45-67).

With respect to claim 11, Madden teaches Madden teaches a method of automatically labeling a time axis of a graph that includes time based data (col. 4, lines 1-67 and col. 5, lines 1-12; automatically generating time label for a given input graph or map: abstract and col. 2, lines 12-25), comprising:

generating a multi-level data structure to store the time labels (storing time labels in a table data structure consisting of a plurality of rows of time labels: col. 6, lines 20-67 and col. 7, lines 1-8; also see col. 4, lines 55-67 and col. 5, lines 1-45 and col. 1, lines 15-35);

populating the multi-level data structure with the time labels (placing label on a given graph, map or drawing: fig. 5, col. 2, lines 12-25);

refining the time labels in the multi-level data structure (redefining the position, labeling spaces: col. 11, lines 22-35 and col. 17, lines 35-45; also see

Art Unit: 2162

figs 16 and 17, hierarchical data structure including multiple levels: col. 15, lines 45-67); and

generating multi-level time labels from time tables that are stored in the multi-level data structure, each multi-level time label comprising a plurality of rows of time labels (time labels are storing in relations or table, wherein a table consisting a plurality of rows of time labels: hierarchical data structure comprising a plurality of level storing time information: figs 16 and 17, col. 15, lines 45-67).

Madden teaches automatically generating time label for a given graph from a multi-level data structure storing time labels in a table consisting a plurality of rows of time labels (abstract, see figs. 16 & 17, col. 15, lines 45-67 and col. 2. lines 12-25), finding a set of label for each graphical feature of graph or map (col. 4, lines 55-67 and col. 5, lines 45). Madden does not clearly teaches applying the generated label to the axis of a graph so that it serves as a label for that axis.

However, Alexander teaches moving time label information to the selected axis (col. 3, lines 28-35).

Therefore, based on Madden in view of Alexander, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Madden and Alexander, because using the steps of "moving time label information to the selected axis", would have given those skilled in the art to have ability to apply the time data to label to an axis of graph. This gives users the advantage of label placement to a given graph or map in the system of Madden more efficiently. Madden and Alexander do not

teach generating time labels by extracting or analyzing time label information from input data comprising informational data and corresponding time labels.

However, Davis teaches manipulating the time data to label the time axis of chart, map or graph (sections 0142 and 0237).

Therefore, based on Madden in view of Alexander, and further in view of Davis, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Davis to the system of Madden to generate time labels to a time axis of graph. Because using the steps of "generating time labels by extracting and analyzing time label information from data", would have given those skilled in the art the tools to manipulate the time labels data to a give time axis of a graph, the motivation being to provide automatically multi-line labeling of time axis in the presentation graphs.

Claim 13 is essentially the same as claim 1 except that it is directed to a system rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 1 hereinabove.

Claim 14 is essentially the same as claim 2 except that it is directed to a system rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 5 hereinabove.

Claim 15 is essentially the same as claim 3 except that it is directed to a system rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 5 hereinabove.

Claim 16 is essentially the same as claim 4 except that it is directed to a system rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 5 hereinabove.

Claim 18 is essentially the same as claim 6 except that it is directed to a system rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 6 hereinabove.

Claim 19 is essentially the same as claim 7 except that it is directed to a system rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 7 hereinabove.

Claim 20 is essentially the same as claim 8 except that it is directed to a system rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 8 hereinabove.

Claim 21 is essentially the same as claim 9 except that it is directed to a system rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 9 hereinabove.

Claim 22 is essentially the same as claim 10 except that it is directed to a system rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 10 hereinabove.

Claim 23 is essentially the same as claim 1 except that it is directed to a computer program product rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 1 hereinabove.

Art Unit: 2162

Claim 24 is essentially the same as claim 2 except that it is directed to a computer program product rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 5 hereinabove.

Claim 25 is essentially the same as claim 3 except that it is directed to a computer program product rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 5 hereinabove.

Claim 26 is essentially the same as claim 4 except that it is directed to a computer program product rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 5 hereinabove.

Claim 28 is essentially the same as claim 6 except that it is directed to a computer program product rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 6 hereinabove.

Claim 29 is essentially the same as claim 7 except that it is directed to a computer program product rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 7 hereinabove.

Claim 30 is essentially the same as claim 8 except that it is directed to a computer program product rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 8 hereinabove.

Claim 31 is essentially the same as claim 9 except that it is directed to a computer program product rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 9 hereinabove.

Application/Control Number: 09/788,459 Page 14

Art Unit: 2162

Claim 32 is essentially the same as claim 10 except that it is directed to a computer program product rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 10 hereinabove.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 5, 17 and 27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The claims 5, 17, and 27 directed to a method, a system and program product for performing of automatically labeling a time axis of a graph that includes time based data. The distinct features of the claims are that, "creating an initial set of time labels, determining whether the initial set of time labels will fit along the time axis, creating an abbreviated set of time labels and creating a subset of time labels."

These distinct features, in conjunction with all other limitations of in the dependent claims render claims 5, 17 and 27 are allowable.

Art Unit: 2162

Contact Information

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anh Ly whose telephone number is (571) 272-4039 or via E-Mail: <u>ANH.LY@USPTO.GOV</u> or fax to (571) 273-4039. The examiner can normally be reached on TUESDAY – THURSDAY from 8:30 AM – 3:30 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Breene, can be reached on (571) 272-4107 or Primary Examiner Jean Corrielus (571) 272-4032.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Any response to this action should be mailed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231, or faxed to: Central Fax Center (571) 273-8300

ANH LY NOV. 16th, 2005